

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

and

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 10318 of 2004

Between:

S. Udaya Shankar , S/o. Late S.K.Subramanayam,
TGP Division Satyaveedu, Chittoor Dist- 517588

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of A.P., Rep.by

its Principal Secretary (Projects & Works), Finance & Planning
Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2. The Director of Works Accounts,

M.J.Road, Nampally Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of certiorari calling for the records relating orders passed in O.A. 7819 of 1998 dt. 15.4.2004 on the file of the A.P. Administrative Tribunal and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to rules and further declare that the petitioner herein is entitled for release of all annual grade increments from the date of his entitlement i.e from 1987 on wards and also promote the petitioner forthwith on par with his immediate junior as Divisional Accounts Officer (Works) Grade -1 and all other consequential benefits , in the interest of Justice.

For the Petitioner:SMT.G.JYOTHI KIRAN

For the Respondent No.: GP FOR SERVICES II

The Court Made the Following :

ORDER: (per Hon'ble sri Justice G.Bikshapathy)

The writ petition is filed assailing the order of the Tribunal in O.A.No.7819 of 1998, dated 15.4.2004 to a very limited extent. The O.A. was disposed of directing the authorities to consider the case of the applicant in accordance with A.P. State and Subordinate Service Rules and issue further orders accordingly for declaration of probation and release of increments.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner however, submits that similar identical issues were disposed of by this Court in Writ Petition No.333 of 2002 and therefore, the authorities may be directed to consider the case of the petitioner as directed by the Tribunal, keeping in view the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.333 of 2002.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Services-II, the writ petition is disposed of directing the authorities to take action, in accordance with the directions issued by the Tribunal and also taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in W.P.No.333 of 2002, dated 19.8.2003.

DT.23.6.2004.

Mdaa.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

Copy to:

1. Principal Secretary (Projects & Works), Finance & Planning Department,
Government of A.P., Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2. The Director of Works Accounts,

M.J.Road, Nampally Hyderabad.

3. Two C.Cs.to GP for Services-II, High Court of A.P.,

High Court building, Hyderabad (OUT)

4.Two C.D.copies.